Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/754,406	XU, SONGJIE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Thomas H. Stevens</u> .	(3)	
(2) Raimaond J. Salenieks.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>4 August 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-11, 13-24, 32-37		
Prior art documents discussed:	,	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Office informed applicants that the claims in question require not in condition for allowance; however the Office acknowledges	further detail by way of amendm	ent . As such, the invention is
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	y by the examiner appears in i	ran ii above.
San	Alexander	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)